

owing to the Perseverance of that House, in a Measure which nothing but a determined Resolution to evade an Appeal to His Majesty, by refusing the Lower House an Agent, could have induced them pertinaciously to adhere to.—Let it then be allowed (as I have observed before) that their Honours were right in rejecting the Assessment Bill, because it was too faulty to be amended, and that the Lower House were right in persevering in it, because they thought a Bill upon that Plan might be made a good One; yet as neither of the Houses had a Right to decide for the other, and perhaps both in some Particulars were wrong, it follows, that the House which proposed the only possible Expedient of settling the Difference, were upon the whole right, and the House which opposed and frustrated this Expedient, were upon the whole wrong. With this View, among others, the Lower House offered the Upper House a Bill for the Support of an Agent in *London*, which their Honours were pleased to reject, and are therefore, with humble Submission to better Judgments, chargeable with all the Consequences.—It would redound much more to the Honour of the Gentlemen who compose the Upper House, to forward an Accommodation of our unhappy Misunderstandings, by this rational Measure, than to endeavour to throw an Odium upon the other Branch, by the little Expedients of Opinions obtained upon *ex Parte* Representations, of Messages thrown in just at the Close of a Session, and Addresses to the Governor, filled with

Scur-